

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,056	11/19/2003	Palanisamy Arjunan	2002B169/2	7205	
23455	7590 11/15	72006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	DBIL CHEMICA	RABAGO,	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE P.O. BOX 2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BAYTOWN	, TX 77522-2149		1713		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	06	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/717,056	ARJUNAN, PAL	ARJUNAN, PALANISAMY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberto Rábago	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	od on 25 August 2006					
<u>'</u>	2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	•	al matters prosecution as to t	ha marite is			
closed in accordance with the practi	·	•	ne meno is			
	se under Ex parte Quayle, 150	0 0.D. 11, 400 0.O. 210.	٠			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,17-29,32,33,35,37</u>	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,17-29,32,33,35,37-39,41-43 and 45-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the di	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
1. Certified copies of the priority						
2. Certified copies of the priority		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101			
3. Copies of the certified copies	•		ai Stage			
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
* See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copie	s not received.				
Attachment(s)	<u></u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· =	er:				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The prior provisional ODP rejection over 10/681,966 is withdrawn because the application has been abandoned. The prior provisional ODP rejection over 10/667,585 is withdrawn because claims reciting heat of fusion and branching index have been deleted from the copending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-15, 17, 18, 20-29, 32, 33, 35, 37-39, 41, 42 and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arjunan et al. (US 2004/0132935) for the reasons set forth in item 2 of the Office action mailed 4/26/2006.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Traversal rests on the statement of Palanisamy Arjunan, filed 8/25/2006, that he invented or conceived the instantly claimed subject matter which was disclosed in the '935 reference. The statement fails to remove the rejection because it does not comply with the requirements for either an affidavit or a declaration. MPEP 715.04 states the following:

II. FORMAL REQUIREMENTS OF AFFIDAVITS AND DECLARATIONS

An affidavit is a statement in writing made under oath before a notary public, magistrate, or officer authorized to administer oaths. See MPEP § 604 through § 604.06 for additional information regarding formal requirements of affidavits. 37 CFR 1.68 permits a declaration to be used instead of an affidavit. The declaration must include an acknowledgment by the declarant that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 U.S.C. 1001) and may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon. The declarant must set forth in the body of the declaration that all statements made of the declarant's own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Art Unit: 1713

The statement of Palanisamy Arjunan is not a proper affidavit because it has not been made under oath before a notary public, magistrate, or officer authorized to administer oaths. The statement is furthermore not a proper declaration because it does not include: (a) an acknowledgment by the declarant that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 U.S.C. 1001) and may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon; or (b) that all statements made of the declarant's own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Double Patenting

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 17-29, 32, 33, 35, 37-39, 41-43 and 45-49 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of copending Application No. 10/667,586 for the reasons set forth in item 6 of the Office action mailed 4/26/2006.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that because the instant claims do not include all of the process requirements of the copending claims, then no ODP rejection is proper. However, the instant claims are open-ended regarding additional process requirements, and therefore broadly include the process of the copending claims.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1713

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR November 12, 2006